| | Case 1:20-cv-01093-JLT-BAM Docume | ent 73 | Filed 03/04/25 | Page 1 of 2 | |
|----|---|--------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|
| 1 | | | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | | | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | MARIO A. VALENZUELA, | C | ase No. 1:20-cv-010 | 993-JLT-BAM (PC) | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | | RDER LIFTING ST | | |
| 13 | V. | | ENDING RESOLU RIMINAL CASE | ΓΙΟΝ OF PLAINTIFF'S | |
| 14 | SANTIESTEBAN, et al., | (E | CCF No. 38) | | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff Mario A. Valenzuela ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in | | | | |
| 18 | forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds | | | | |
| 19 | against Defendants Santiesteban, Rodriguez, and Alejo for excessive force in violation of the | | | | |
| 20 | Eighth Amendment and against Defendant Perez for failure to protect in violation of the Eighth | | | | |
| 21 | Amendment, arising from the incident on June 22, 2019. | | | | |
| 22 | On April 9, 2021, the Court granted Defendants' motion to stay this civil action until | | | | |
| 23 | Plaintiff's criminal charges in Kings County Superior Court, case number 20CM-1621, arising | | | | |
| 24 | from the same June 22, 2019 incident involved in this action, were resolved. (ECF No. 38.) | | | | |
| 25 | Defendants were directed to file status reports every ninety days. (ECF No. 45.) | | | | |
| 26 | Defendants' January 27, 2025 status report indicates that on January 10, 2025, Plaintiff | | | | |
| 27 | was sentenced to twenty-five years after a jury found Plaintiff guilty of battery on a non-confined | | | | |
| 28 | /// | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Case 1:20-cv-01093-JLT-BAM Document 73 Filed 03/04/25 Page 2 of 2 | | | | |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | person by a prisoner in violation of PC 4501.5.1 (ECF No. 72.) Defendants state that because | | | | |
| 2 | Plaintiff's criminal trial has concluded, Defendants believe that a stay in this action is no longer | | | | |
| 3 | necessary. (Id.) Plaintiff did not file a response. | | | | |
| 4 | Pursuant to Defendants' status report and in light of Plaintiff's failure to file any objection | | | | |
| 5 | thereto, the Court finds it appropriate to lift the stay of this action. (ECF No. 38.) | | | | |
| 6 | Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: | | | | |
| 7 | 1. The stay of this action, (ECF No. 38), is LIFTED; and | | | | |
| 8 | 2. The parties may proceed with discovery pursuant to the Amended Discovery and | | | | |
| 9 | Scheduling order to be issued by separate order. | | | | |
| 10 | IT IS SO ORDERED | | | | |
| 11 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | | | |
| 12 | Dated: March 4, 2025 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe | | | | |
| 13 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | |
| 25 | The Court takes judicial notice of Plaintiff's criminal case in the Kings County Superior Court, <i>The People of the</i> | | | | |
| 26 27 | State of Cal. v. Mario Alberto Valenzuela, Case No. 20CM-1621 and 20CMS-1621. The Kings County Superior Court's Case Index Search for Case No. 20CMS-1621, provides that on November 15, 2024, a jury verdict of | | | | |
| 20 | "guilty" was reached, and on January 10, 2025, a sentencing hearing was held. The Court may take judicial notice of state court records. See Bias v. Moynihan, 508 F.3d 1212, 1225 (9th Cir. 2007); Kasey v. Molybdenum Corp. of | | | | |

Amer., 336 F.2d 560, 563 (9th Cir. 1964).